Alterations in resting-state functional activity and connectivity for major depressive disorder appetite and weight disturbance phenotypes
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Abstract

Background. Major depressive disorder (MDD) is often accompanied by changes in appetite and weight. Prior task-based functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) findings suggest these MDD phenotypes are associated with altered reward and interoceptive processing.

Methods. Using resting-state fMRI data, we compared the fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF) and seed-based connectivity (SBC) among hyperphagic (n = 77), hypophagic (n = 66), and euphagic (n = 42) MDD groups and a healthy comparison group (n = 38). We examined fALFF and SBC in a mask restricted to reward [nucleus accumbens (NAcc), putamen, caudate, ventral pallidum, and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC)] and interoceptive (anterior insula and hypothalamus) regions and also performed exploratory whole-brain analyses. SBC analyses included as seeds the NAcc and also regions demonstrating group differences in fALFF (i.e. right lateral OFC and right anterior insula). All analyses used threshold-free cluster enhancement.

Results. Mask-restricted analyses revealed stronger fALFF in the right lateral OFC, and weaker fALFF in the right anterior insula, for hyperphagic MDD vs. healthy comparison. We also found weaker SBC between the right lateral OFC and left anterior insula for hyperphagic MDD vs. healthy comparison. Whole-brain analyses revealed weaker fALFF in the right anterior insula, and stronger SBC between the right lateral OFC and left precentral gyrus, for hyperphagic MDD vs. healthy comparison. Findings were no longer significant after controlling for body mass index, which was higher for hyperphagic MDD.

Conclusions. Our results suggest hyperphagic MDD may be associated with altered activity in and connectivity between interoceptive and reward regions.

Introduction

Marked decreases or increases in appetite or weight are common symptoms included in the diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder (MDD) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Research suggests that almost one half of adults with MDD present with hypophagic behaviors, whereas approximately one quarter present with hyperphagic behaviors attributable to the current MDD episode (Husain et al., 2005). These phenotypes differ not only behaviorally, but also in terms of brain activity and metabolism (Simmons et al., 2020). Further elucidating the neurobiological substrates of these differing MDD presentations may help develop more effective treatments.

Few studies have focused on neurobiological differences between appetite/weight phenotypes in MDD. One recent study used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to evaluate differences in unmedicated participants with MDD who had an increase vs. a decrease in appetite (Simmons et al., 2016). Participants viewed food and non-food stimuli during fMRI and rated how pleasant it would be to eat foods from a second set of visual stimuli. When presented with food vs. non-food stimuli, participants with an increase in appetite (n = 16) showed significantly stronger activation in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), ventral striatum [including the nucleus accumbens (NAcc)], ventral pallidum, and putamen, relative to individuals with a decrease in appetite (n = 16). Additionally, participants in the decreased appetite group had lower activation in the caudal anterior and dorsal mid-insula, compared to participants in the increased appetite group. Although the authors did not examine resting-state functional activity and connectivity, these findings support the hypothesized importance of reward and interoceptive neural systems involved in food intake and weight loss.

The current study aimed to examine differences in resting-state functional activity and connectivity between hyperphagic and hypophagic MDD and healthy comparison using both whole-brain and seed-based analyses. The specific aims were to (1) identify regions with altered low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF) and seed-based connectivity (SBC) in hyperphagic vs. hypophagic MDD and healthy comparison and (2) determine whether these alterations vary depending on diagnosis (MDD vs. healthy comparison) for these different hyperphagic and hypophagic states.

Methods

Participants were recruited from the McLean Hospital Mood and Anxiety Research Program. Inclusion criteria were: (1) 18-65 years of age, (2) current MDD (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), (3) CIDI (World Health Organization, 1990) diagnosis of current MDD, (4) and absence of current major bipolar disorder or other significant psychiatric illness. Participants were excluded if they had a history of significant head injury, neurological disorder, or substance dependence. The study was approved by the McLean Hospital Institutional Review Board and all participants provided written informed consent.
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connectivity (RSFC) group differences, food pleasantness ratings were positively correlated with functional connectivity between the right dorsal mid-insula and two other regions showing group differences in activation during the food stimuli task (the left medial ventral striatum and the left ventral medial prefrontal cortex); additionally, pleasantness ratings were higher in the hyperphagic MDD group than the controls and hypophagic MDD group. Moreover, another study investigated differences in fMRI responsivity to food v. non-food stimuli in participants with remitted MDD who had experienced MDD-attributed appetite changes (Cerit et al., 2019). For high-calorie food (v. non-food) stimuli, the increased appetite group had greater activation in the right putamen and right anterior insula compared to the decreased appetite group. For high- (v. low-) calorie food stimuli, the increased appetite group showed greater activation than healthy controls in the right and left caudate and the left pallidum, and participants in the decreased appetite group were characterized by greater activation than healthy controls in the left hypothalamus and the right caudate (Cerit et al., 2019). Collectively, these findings indicate that different appetite phenotypes in MDD are associated with abnormalities within regions critically implicated in reward processing (e.g. NAcc, OFC, putamen, caudate, ventral pallidum) and interoceptive perception (e.g. anterior insula, hypothalamus).

Differences in brain activation to food stimuli have also been investigated in conjunction with metabolic markers (Simmons et al., 2020). Specifically, in the hyperphagic MDD group, individuals with greater insulin resistance exhibited higher activation to food cues in the insular cortex. In combination with other findings, such as increased levels of immune markers in the increased appetite group, Simmons et al. (2020) suggested that hyperphagic MDD is associated with metabolic and immune dysfunction, independent of body mass index (BMI).

Existing studies have examined differences in how MDD appetite phenotypes respond to food cues (Cerit et al., 2019; Simmons et al., 2016, 2020). Because brain activity and connectivity are less constrained by specific task-based demands during rest, analyses of resting-state fMRI for hyperphagic and hypophagic MDD can provide important, complementary insights into the neural underpinnings of these presentations, revealing potential differences that exist independent of exposure to food cues. Besides using RSFC to investigate connectivity between regions, the fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF) analyses may be helpful to assess spontaneous activity in specific brain regions (Zou et al., 2008), since disorder-related abnormalities may be due to local neuronal activity rather than connectivity between different locations (Egorova, Veldman, Cumming, & Brodtmann, 2017). These methods have been used to elucidate the neural correlates of MDD (Mulders, van Eijndhoven, Schene, Beckmann, & Tendolkar, 2015; Zhou et al., 2017) and conditions associated with abnormal food intake (Parsons, Steward, Clohesy, Almgren, & Duehmeyer, 2021). However, no investigations have compared resting-state activity or connectivity between different appetite phenotypes in MDD, despite the potential insights that may be gleaned from such investigations. In particular, the aforementioned resting-state fMRI measures may prove sensitive to detecting the appetite, metabolic, and reward-related differences that differentiate MDD with hyperphagia from MDD with hypophagia or euphagia, given prior evidence suggesting that resting-state measures can index individual's metabolic state and perceived food pleasantness (Al-Zubaidi, Feldmann, Mertins, Jauch-Chara, & Munte, 2018; Simmons et al., 2016).

In the present study, we seek to establish a more comprehensive view of the neural underpinnings of MDD appetite and/or weight phenotypes by examining resting-state activity and connectivity. This is important in order to understand whether there are group differences in spontaneous activity and connectivity that exist independent of the response to external food cues. To achieve this, we examined differences in fALFF and RSFC in hyperphagic, hypophagic and euphagic (no change in appetite/weight attributable to the MDD episode) MDD in comparison to a healthy comparison group. To focus on the regions specified in our a priori hypotheses, we first restricted fALFF and RSFC analyses to a mask containing the brain regions reported to show differences across MDD eating phenotypes in prior research, i.e., reward and interoceptive regions. For RSFC analyses, regions exhibiting fALFF group differences were used as seeds, as was the NAcc given its role in reward processing and involvement in food-related disorders and depression (Demos, Heatherton, & Kelley, 2012; Domingo-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Lawrence, Hinton, Parkinson, & Lawrence, 2012). Second, we explored fALFF and RSFC (using the same regions as seeds) in the whole brain. Given that RSFC to reward regions (e.g. NAcc) was greater in conditions associated with increased food intake (Parsons et al., 2021) and reduced in conditions associated with decreased food intake (Haynos et al., 2019), we expected the connectivity between the NAcc and other reward and interoceptive regions to vary according to the MDD appetite/weight phenotype, with hyperphagic MDD showing higher connectivity than hypophagic MDD, and the euphagic and healthy comparison groups being in an intermediate position between the other two groups. We expected fALFF in reward and interoceptive regions to vary with respect to appetite/weight phenotype but did not have specific hypotheses regarding directionality given the limited existing literature.

Methods

Participants

Participants (n = 223) were drawn from the multi-site clinical trial Establishing Moderators and Biosignatures of Antidepressant Response for Clinical Care for Depression (EMBARC) (Trivedi et al., 2016). Data were collected at Columbia University, Massachusetts General Hospital, the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, and the University of Michigan. For the MDD group, exclusion criteria included lifetime psychotic depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, and any psychotic disorder, as well as current primary anxiety disorder, substance dependence (previous six months), and substance abuse (previous two months). Further, to increase homogeneity, individuals were excluded from the MDD group if they did not score 14 or above on the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS) ( Rush et al., 2003). For the healthy comparison group, exclusion criteria included any lifetime Axis I disorder. For all groups, further exclusion criteria included a history of neurological disorders, head injury, major medical illnesses, or current pregnancy. For the present analyses, we additionally excluded individuals reporting current diabetes or whose resting-state fMRI data did not pass quality control (Fig. 1). No participants were on anti-depressant medication in the present analyses, based on data collected at the screening and baseline visits.

For the present analyses, participants with MDD were divided into groups categorized as hyperphagic MDD (i.e. individuals
with MDD who experienced an increase in appetite and/or weight during the current MDD episode; \( n = 77 \), hypophagic MDD (i.e. individuals with MDD who experienced a decrease in appetite and/or weight during the current MDD episode; \( n = 66 \), and euphagic MDD (i.e. individuals with MDD who experienced no significant or detectable changes in appetite and/or weight during the current MDD episode; \( n = 42 \)); in addition, healthy comparison participants without MDD (\( n = 38 \)) were included. MDD participants were allocated to groups based on their responses to items assessing appetite and/or weight changes from the MDD section of the mood disorders module of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I disorders (SCID-IV) (Kübler, 2013). Specifically, individuals with scores of 3 (i.e. threshold or true) on the appetite and/or weight change item were assigned to either the hyperphagia or hypophagia groups, depending on whether the additional items indicated weight gain / increased appetite or weight loss / decreased appetite, respectively. Individuals with scores of 1 on the appetite and/or weight change item were assigned to the euphagic MDD group. Individuals with a score of 2 (i.e. subthreshold) on the appetite and/or weight change item (\( n = 23 \)) were excluded from the analyses, given that the additional items indicating directionality of the change were not consistently completed for those participants and therefore sub-threshold hyperphagia/hypophagia groups would have been extremely small.

**Procedures**

During screening, all participants signed the consent and completed the SCID-IV, demographics, as well as the Mood and Anxiety Symptoms Questionnaire (MASQ) (Watson et al., 1995), the Snaith-Hamilton Pleasure Scale (SHAPS) (Snaith et al., 1995), and the QIDS. Following screening (range = 1–70 days; mean = 13.1 days), participants presented to the fMRI scan used in the present analyses.

**Imaging acquisition and preprocessing of fMRI data**

See online Supplemental Information for details on data acquisition, preprocessing, and region of interest (ROI) definition.

**Statistical analyses**

**Demographic and clinical measures**

To more fully characterize the groups, we compared them on various demographic and clinical measures. See online Supplemental Material for more information on analyses of demographic variables.

Clinical symptoms were assessed using the MASQ, SHAPS, and QIDS. As in Simmons et al. (2016), SHAPS items assessing loss of pleasure to food and drink were excluded when calculating the score since previous analyses of food pleasantness showed differences between hyperphagic v. hypophagic MDD (Simmons et al., 2016). Similarly, QIDS items assessing appetite and weight change were excluded from the calculation of the overall QIDS score. However, for participants with MDD, we used responses to the QIDS appetite and weight change items to investigate whether group differences in fALFF and RSFC analyses were driven by MDD-related changes in appetite and/or weight. Specifically, for MDD participants who correctly followed instructions for completing the QIDS appetite and weight change items, we multiplied the item assessing decreased appetite by −1 and added it to the item assessing increased appetite, resulting in a composite appetite change score that ranged from −3 to 3; the same procedure was used to create a composite weight change score.

**Functional activity and connectivity**

The Functional Connectivity Toolbox v19.c (CONN) (Whitfield-Gabrieli & Nieto-Castanon, 2012) was used for all fMRI analyses. All analyses included Sex and Site as regressors, since data were collected at different locations and groups significantly differed with respect to sex frequencies. To address multiple comparisons, we used threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) (Smith & Nichols, 2009) with 1000 permutations (statistical threshold set at \( p < 0.05 \), FWE-corrected). Finally, Cohen’s effect sizes (\( d \)) were calculated for significant findings.

Fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF). We first performed mask-restricted analyses that examined group differences in fALFF in regions of interest (the NAcc, lateral OFC, hypothalamus, putamen, caudate, ventral pallidum, and anterior insula). Specifically, we investigated significant clusters within the mask including these regions. Secondly, we performed exploratory analyses examining fALFF group differences across the whole brain. In both analyses, the timeseries of each voxel was transformed to the frequency domain using a Discrete Cosine Transform, and power spectra were calculated. Voxelwise fALFF analysis was conducted by calculating the average square root of power with the 0.008–0.09 Hz frequency band for each voxel and dividing by the total power spectra (Hallquist, Hwang, & Luna, 2013). fALFF maps were standardized into subject-level Z-score maps.

Seed-based connectivity (SBC) analyses. We first performed mask-restricted analyses that examined group differences in fALFF from three seed regions to voxels within regions of interest. Seed regions included the NAcc, lateral OFC and anterior insula that showed significant fALFF differences between groups. Secondly, we performed exploratory whole-brain SBC analyses using the same three regions as seeds to evaluate group differences in connectivity involving the NAcc, lateral OFC, and anterior insula with the rest of the brain.

**Sensitivity analyses**

Given the evidence that hyperphagic MDD is associated with metabolic dysfunction (Simmons et al., 2020), the analyses
described above were also performed with adjustment for BMI \((n = 201\) participants with BMI available) as an additional covariate. Additionally, to explore moderation by sex, we examined whether group differences in fALFF and RSFC differed for males and females. Finally, we used Pearson’s correlations and multiple regression to examine the relationship between beta values from significant fALFF and RSFC findings and the QIDS appetite and weight change scores \((n = 147\) participants with change scores available), as well as BMI (see online Supplemental Materials for more information).

**Preregistration**

Hypotheses and data analysis plans were pre-registered on Open Science Framework (see https://osf.io/fxqdp). See online Supplemental Material for details.

**Results**

**Analyses of demographic and clinical measures**

Sample characteristics can be found in Table 1. See online Supplemental Material for further description of demographics and clinical measures.

**fMRI analyses**

Unthresholded maps for fMRI analyses can be viewed at https://neurovault.org/collections/11836/.

**Fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF)**

*Mask-restricted analyses.* Relative to the healthy comparison group, the hyperphagic MDD group was characterized by significantly lower fALFF in the right anterior insula \((TFCE = 146.73, p_{FWE} = 0.018, d_{\text{cluster level}} = −0.942; \text{Fig. 2}), but higher fALFF in the right lateral OFC \((TFCE = 125.40, p_{FWE} = 0.036, d_{\text{cluster level}} = 0.918; \text{Fig. 2}). See Table 2 for further details. No other group differences emerged.

Whole-brain analysis. Whole-brain analyses confirmed lower fALFF for hyperphagic MDD relative to the healthy comparison group \((TFCE = 411.98, p_{FWE} = 0.037, d_{\text{cluster level}} = −0.958; \text{Fig. 2}). See Table 2 for further details. No other significant differences were found.

**Seed-based connectivity**

*Mask-restricted analyses.* The connectivity between the right lateral OFC and the left anterior insula was significantly lower in hyperphagic MDD compared to the healthy comparison \((TFCE = 173.71, p_{FWE} = 0.045, d_{\text{cluster level}} = −0.730; \text{Fig. 3}). See Table 3 for further details. No significant differences emerged when the NAcc or anterior insula were used as seeds.

Whole-brain analysis. We found significantly higher connectivity between the right lateral OFC and the left precentral gyrus in hyperphagic MDD compared to the healthy comparison group \((TFCE = 593.07, p_{FWE} = 0.047, d_{\text{cluster level}} = 0.862; \text{Fig. 3}). See Table 3 for further details. No significant differences were found for connectivity of the NAcc, or the anterior insula, to the whole brain.

**Sensitivity analysis**

When BMI was included as a covariate in the analyses above, no significant differences were found among groups for fALFF or RSFC. Also, in the sex moderation analyses, the effect of group did not differ significantly between males and females for fALFF or RSFC (all \(p > 0.05\)).

Finally, beta values were significantly correlated (all \(p < 0.05\), in the expected direction, with both appetite and weight changes scores, with two exceptions (online Supplementary Fig. S2). Beta values for the anterior insula finding from the fALFF mask-restricted analyses were not significantly correlated with weight change scores \((p = 0.15), and beta values for the R lateral OFC – L anterior insula finding from SBC mask-restricted analyses were not significantly correlated with appetite change scores \((p = 0.077). Correlations between beta values and BMI were in the same direction as the appetite- and weight- change items, but generally smaller and non-significant (online Supplementary Fig. S2). In multiple regressions for appetite (or weight) change scores as a function of the beta values for the various significant fALFF and RSFC findings (see online Supplemental Materials), connectivity between right lateral OFC and left precentral gyrus was the most reliable predictor of appetite changes scores \((p < 0.05) and weight change scores \((p < 0.01)\).

**Discussion**

Investigating different appetite/weight change phenotypes in MDD may reveal important neurobiological differences between groups and help guide more targeted treatments. Specifically, individuals with MDD may be more likely to benefit from interventions that normalize the distinct patterns of resting-state activity and connectivity found to be aberrant for that individual’s particular MDD phenotype. We investigated resting-state fALFF and seed-based RSFC in individuals with MDD presenting with different appetite/weight phenotypes. In comparison with the healthy comparison group, individuals with hyperphagic MDD showed: (1) lower fALFF in the right anterior insula and higher fALFF in the right lateral OFC; (2) lower connectivity between the right lateral OFC and the left anterior insula; and (3) higher connectivity between the right lateral OFC and the left precentral gyrus. Further, examination of correlations between the beta values for these significant findings and responses to the appetite and weight change items from the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology suggests that these differences in fALFF and connectivity are generally correlated with both appetite and weight changes; exceptions were fALFF in the right anterior insula, which was significantly correlated with appetite changes but not weight changes, and connectivity between the right lateral OFC and left anterior insula, which was significantly correlated with weight changes but not appetite changes.

The anterior insula is one of two regions containing the primary gustatory cortex; it is also implicated in interoceptive awareness (Craig, 2009), and may play a role in communicating interoceptive changes (Barrett & Simmons, 2015). Prior research in current (Simmons et al., 2016) and remitted (Cerit et al., 2019) MDD suggests higher activity in response to the presentation of visual food stimuli in the right anterior insula for individuals with increased \(v\) decreased appetite in the context of MDD. Our fALFF finding for the anterior insula extends these prior data, showing that independent of food presentation, individuals with hyperphagic MDD present with decreased spontaneous activity in the anterior insula and that these alterations are correlated with appetite changes. Notably, the anterior insula has been implicated in the pathophysiology of MDD (Sliz & Hayley, 2012), and some meta-analyses of resting-state activity...
Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants included in the imaging analyses (N = 223)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Healthy Comparison (HC)</th>
<th>MDD</th>
<th>Omnibus Test</th>
<th>Post hoc comparison p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42 66 77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean (S.D.)</td>
<td>38.1 (14.9)</td>
<td>35.8 (12.5) 35.3 (11.6) 38.4 (13.5)</td>
<td>F = 0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.486a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, number (%)</td>
<td>24 (63.2)</td>
<td>25 (59.5) 42 (63.6) 62 (80.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.038b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index in kg/m², mean (S.D.)</td>
<td>25.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>26.8 (6.6) 25.9 (5.5) 31.4 (6.8)</td>
<td>F = 12.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.001a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education in years, mean (S.D.)</td>
<td>15.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>15.6 (2.3) 15.0 (2.8) 15.1 (2.4)</td>
<td>F = 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.952a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, number (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>25 (65.8)</td>
<td>28 (66.6) 44 (66.6) 53 (68.8)</td>
<td>p = 0.607a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>8 (21.1)</td>
<td>7 (16.6) 9 (13.6) 17 (22.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3 (7.9)</td>
<td>4 (9.5) 7 (10.6) 2 (2.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska native</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.3) 0 (0) 0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 (5.3)</td>
<td>2 (4.7) 6 (9.0) 5 (6.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino origin, number (%)</td>
<td>3 (7.9)</td>
<td>7 (16.6) 12 (18.1) 21 (27.2)</td>
<td>p = 0.088b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD severity, number (%)c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>4 (9.5)</td>
<td>2 (3.0) 6 (7.7)</td>
<td>p = 0.408b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>28 (66.6)</td>
<td>38 (57.5) 46 (59.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe, without psychotic features</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
<td>25 (37.8) 25 (32.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood congruent psychotic features</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1.5) 0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD recurrence status, number (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single episode</td>
<td>9 (21.4)</td>
<td>6 (9.0) 8 (10.3)</td>
<td>p = 0.109b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>29 (69.0)</td>
<td>57 (86.3) 69 (89.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at MDD onset in years, mean (S.D.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.7 (5.4) 16.2 (5.9) 17.4 (6.2)</td>
<td>F = 1.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.274a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of current major depressive episode in months, median</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24.0 12.5 12.0</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 3.662$</td>
<td>$p = 0.160^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of prior major depressive episodes, median</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 4 4</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 5.811$</td>
<td>$p = 0.054^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIDS overall score, mean (S.D.)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19.4 (4.0) 20.8 (3.6) 19.6 (4.1)</td>
<td>F = 2.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.111a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIDS appetite change score, mean (S.D.)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−0.2 (1.3) −1.3 (1.1) 1.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>F = 94.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.001a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIDS weight change score, mean (S.D.)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0 (1.1) −1.1 (1.3) 1.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>F = 60.23a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.001a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current diagnoses*, number (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (4.7) 5 (7.5) 3 (3.8)</td>
<td>p = 0.497b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
suggest that the amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (ALFF) is elevated in the anterior insula in individuals with MDD (Li et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2017). Our findings suggest that the nature of alterations in spontaneous activity in the anterior insula may partly depend on the particular appetite phenotype of MDD. In addition to lower fALFF in the right anterior insula, hyperphagic MDD also had higher fALFF in the right lateral OFC, compared to the healthy comparison group. The OFC is involved in valuation and decision-making. This includes the representation of stimuli (e.g. food) as rewards, with activation in the OFC encoding, for example, food pleasantness (Simmons et al., 2014) and reward value (Rudebeck & Murray, 2014), as well as tastiness (Londerée & Wagner, 2020). Research has also evidenced differences in reward processing between the medial and lateral parts of the OFC (Rolls, Cheng, & Feng, 2020). An early study in primates suggested that neurons in the lateral portion of OFC were activated in response to a food-reward extinction procedure, i.e., when food reward was no longer delivered as expected (Rolls, Thorpe, Rolls, & Maddison, 1983). Additionally, evidence suggests that the lateral OFC may represent unpleasant stimuli, such as unpleasant odors (Rolls, Kringelbach, & de Araujo, 2003). Relevant to the present study, Simmons et al. (2016) found increased activation in response to food (v. non-food) stimuli in the right OFC for the increased appetite MDD group in comparison to healthy controls. Also notably, some meta-analyses have found increased ALFF in more medial parts of the OFC for individuals with MDD (Li et al., 2017). Our results for the lateral OFC extend these prior findings, by suggesting that hyperphagic MDD is associated with increased spontaneous activity in the lateral OFC independent of food-related stimuli.

Besides differences in fALFF, the hyperphagic MDD group exhibited differences in RSFC to the right lateral OFC seed region, including lower connectivity with the left anterior insula and greater connectivity with the left precentral gyrus. Until recently, few studies have examined seed-based RSFC to the lateral OFC in MDD (Mulders et al., 2015), but recent research suggests that MDD is generally associated with hyperconnectivity between the lateral OFC and diverse brain regions (Cheng et al., 2016).

Table 1. (Continued.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Healthy Comparison (HC)</th>
<th>Euphagic (Eu)</th>
<th>Hypophagic (Hypo)</th>
<th>Hyperphagic (Hyper)</th>
<th>Omnibus Test</th>
<th>Post hoc comparison p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>p = 0.693b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
<td>9 (13.6)</td>
<td>12 (15.5)</td>
<td>p = 0.604b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
<td>5 (7.5)</td>
<td>5 (6.4)</td>
<td>p = 0.864b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7 (16.6)</td>
<td>8 (12.1)</td>
<td>8 (10.3)</td>
<td>p = 0.455b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder not otherwise specified</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (4.7)</td>
<td>5 (7.5)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>p = 0.471b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>p = 0.794b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other clinical measures, mean (s.d.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Healthy Comparison (HC)</th>
<th>Euphagic (Eu)</th>
<th>Hypophagic (Hypo)</th>
<th>Hyperphagic (Hyper)</th>
<th>Omnibus Test</th>
<th>Post hoc comparison p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General distress</td>
<td>12.0 (2.5)</td>
<td>32.7 (8.7)</td>
<td>33.5 (7.3)</td>
<td>30.9 (8.6)</td>
<td>F = 74.38; p &lt; 0.011a; &lt; 0.001 All MDD &gt; HC &gt; 0.050 other group comparisons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhedonic depression</td>
<td>25.7 (7.0)</td>
<td>42.5 (7.1)</td>
<td>44.4 (4.2)</td>
<td>44.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>F = 102.4; p &lt; 0.011a; &lt; 0.001 All MDD &gt; HC &gt; 0.050 other group comparisons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious arousal</td>
<td>10.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>16.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>18.9 (6.4)</td>
<td>16.9 (4.8)</td>
<td>F = 19.09; p &lt; 0.011a; &lt; 0.001 All MDD &gt; HC &gt; 0.050 other group comparisons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snaith-Hamilton Pleasure Scale</td>
<td>18.1 (4.5)</td>
<td>27.5 (4.4)</td>
<td>30.1 (5.0)</td>
<td>29.2 (4.7)</td>
<td>F = 60.88; p &lt; 0.011a; &lt; 0.001 All MDD &gt; HC &gt; 0.025 Hypo &gt; Eu &gt; 0.050 other group comparisons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p values are comparisons between groups via

* one-way ANOVA (and Tukey’s Test for post hoc comparisons when applicable),
* Fisher’s Exact tests, or
* Kruskal-Wallis tests.
* Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS) overall scores did not include the items measuring appetite/weight change. QIDS appetite change scores were calculated by multiplying the item assessing decreased appetite by −1 and adding it to the item assessing increased appetite, resulting in a composite appetite change score that ranged from −3 to 3; the same procedure was used to create a composite weight change score.
* Using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I disorders (Kübler, 2013).
* There was no significant difference between MDD groups for the other clinical measures unless stated otherwise.
Our finding of hypoconnectivity between the lateral OFC and anterior insula suggests that the generally heterogeneous findings on seed-based RSFC for the insula (Mulders et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2018) may be clarified by accounting for different appetite/weight phenotypes of MDD.

It is important to note that when BMI was added as a covariate, the aforementioned differences between hyperphagic MDD and healthy comparison were no longer significant. Given that hyperphagic MDD is associated with current, as well as past (Lamers et al., 2012), weight gain in the context of MDD, it is not surprising that the hyperphagic MDD group had a higher BMI than the other MDD groups and healthy comparison participants, especially since many participants with MDD in the present sample have had a substantial history of depression. In fact, since hyperphagic MDD may be one cause of higher BMI in the hyperphagic MDD group, it would be expected that findings for hyperphagic MDD would overlap to some extent with those for BMI. Further, given that hyperphagic MDD may be one cause of higher BMI, adjustment for BMI or matching on BMI status could introduce bias into investigations of group differences, in addition to or instead of reducing bias (Diemer, Hudson, & Javaras, 2021). The partial overlap of findings for BMI and for MDD-related appetite/weight change is supported by the pattern of correlations for beta values from significant group differences: correlations with BMI were in the same direction, but generally smaller and less significant for BMI than for MDD-related appetite and weight changes.

Indeed, several of the regions (e.g. the insula and OFC) exhibiting differences for the hyperphagic MDD group, which had a mean BMI of 31.4, have been implicated in resting-state studies of obesity (Parsons et al., 2021). Further, our functional connectivity findings are generally in the same direction as those for Fig. 2. Resting-state fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations (fALFF) in the right anterior insula and right lateral orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Compared to the healthy comparison group, the hyperphagic major depressive disorder group showed increased fALFF in the right lateral OFC and decreased fALFF in the right anterior insula. Brain slices are presented in accordance with radiological convention (i.e. right hemisphere presented in left side of the image). Models included adjustment for sex and site. (Abbreviations: Eu = euphagic; fALFF = fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations; HC = healthy comparisons; Hyper = hyperphagic; Hypo = hypophagic; MDD = major depressive disorder; OFC = orbitofrontal cortex; R = right).
obesity. For instance, in a recent review of resting-state studies in obesity, Parsons et al. (2021) reported that obesity is associated with reduced insular and increased OFC functional connectivity, consistent with our respective findings of decreased connectivity of the OFC with the anterior insula and increased connectivity of the OFC with the precentral gyrus, in hyperphagic MDD relative to controls. In contrast, findings regarding resting-state activity in obesity, though few (Parsons et al., 2021), are in the opposite direction as our fALFF findings (i.e. lower anterior insula and higher OFC) for hyperphagic MDD. In our sample, the pattern of results for BMI (see online Supplemental Materials) differed from the findings reported here (i.e. for hyperphagic MDD v. healthy comparison), making it unlikely that findings for hyperphagic MDD v. healthy comparison are attributable solely to BMI differences between those groups. However, more research is needed to disentangle the effects of higher BMI and hyperphagic MDD on resting-state activity and connectivity.

Table 2. Clusters exhibiting group differences in mask-restricted or whole-brain analyses of fractional amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations. Models included adjustment for sex and site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region(^a)</th>
<th>Cluster(^b) ([x, y, z])(^c)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Peaks</th>
<th>TFCE</th>
<th>Peak p-FWE</th>
<th>Peak p-unc</th>
<th>Analyses; Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R Anterior Insula</td>
<td>+44 +08 −10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>146.73</td>
<td>0.017667</td>
<td>0.000069</td>
<td>MR; HC &gt; Hyper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Lateral OFC</td>
<td>+40 +32 −14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>125.40</td>
<td>0.035667</td>
<td>0.000191</td>
<td>MR; Hyper &gt; HC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Anterior Insula</td>
<td>+44 +10 −10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>411.98</td>
<td>0.037000</td>
<td>0.000031</td>
<td>WB; HC &gt; Hyper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FWE, Family-wise error; HC, Healthy comparison group; Hyper, Hyperphagic major depressive disorder group; MR, Mask-restricted; OFC, Orbitofrontal cortex; R, Right; TFCE, Threshold-free cluster enhancement; unc, uncorrected; WB, Whole brain.

\(^a\)Region with the most voxels within the relevant cluster.

\(^b\)Only clusters with more than 20 voxels are reported.

\(^c\)Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI).

Fig. 3. Resting-state functional connectivity analyses with the right lateral orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) as seed. Compared to the healthy comparison group, the hyperphagic major depressive disorder group showed decreased resting-state functional connectivity to the left anterior insula and increased connectivity to the left precentral gyrus. Brain slices are presented in accordance with radiological convention (i.e. right hemisphere presented in left side of the image). Models included adjustment for sex and site. (Abbreviations: Eu = euphagic; HC = healthy comparisons; Hyper = hyperphagic; Hypo = hypophagic; L = left; MDD = major depressive disorder; OFC = orbitofrontal cortex; PreCG = precentral gyrus; R = right).
important to note that our analyses did not find any significant differences between groups in functional connectivity to the accumbens seed region, in contrast to studies comparing striatal differences between groups in functional connectivity to the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). However, little is known about differences in spontaneous activity and connectivity for these phenotypes. Our study adds to prior research by suggesting that, independent of changes in appetite or weight, which may have introduced heterogeneity into these groups if MDD-related changes were found for the other appetite/weight change groups, our results suggest that alterations in processing interoceptive and reward-related information may contribute to hyperphagic MDD.

Conclusion

Task-based fMRI studies have shown that appetite phenotypes in MDD are associated with altered activation in response to food stimuli in regions involved in processing interoceptive and reward-related information (Cerit et al., 2019; Simmons et al., 2016, 2020). However, little is known about differences in spontaneous activity and connectivity for these phenotypes. Our study adds to prior research by suggesting that, independent of changes in appetite and weight, which may have introduced heterogeneity into these groups if MDD-related changes were found for the other appetite/weight change groups, our results suggest that alterations in processing interoceptive and reward-related information may contribute to hyperphagic MDD.
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**Table 3. Clusters exhibiting group differences in mask-restricted or whole-brain analyses of seed-based connectivity (with the right lateral OFC as the seed). Models included adjustment for sex and site**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cluster (x, y, z)</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Peaks</th>
<th>TFCE</th>
<th>Peak p-FWE</th>
<th>Peak p-unc</th>
<th>Analyses; Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L Anterior Insula</td>
<td>−42 +14 +00</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>173.71</td>
<td>0.045000</td>
<td>0.001030</td>
<td>MR; HC &gt; Hyper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Precentral Gyrus</td>
<td>−04 −28 +72</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>593.07</td>
<td>0.047000</td>
<td>0.000282</td>
<td>WB; Hyper &gt; HC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FWE, Family-wise error; HC, Healthy comparison group; Hyper, Hyperphagic major depressive disorder group; L, Left; MR, Mask-restricted; OFC, Orbitofrontal cortex; TFCE, Threshold-free cluster enhancement;unc, uncorrected; WB, Whole brain.

*Only clusters with more than 20 voxels are reported.
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